NPRSB PUBLIC TELECONFERENCE

Purpose: Vote on the Future of the NPRSB Letter to the ASPR

December 7, 2017
Agenda

- Call to Order, Roll Call, and Conflict of Interest Rules
- Welcome and Agenda Overview
- Discussion of the Future of the NPRSB Letter to the ASPR
- Public Comment Check via Email
- NPRSB Vote on the Future of the NPRSB Letter to the ASPR
- Wrap-Up and Conclusions
- Adjourn
FUTURE OF THE NPRSB
LETTER TO THE ASPR

Steven Krug, MD (Chair, NPRSB)
Future of the NPRSB Working Group (FNWG)

Goal of FNWG:

To identify topics and processes by which the NPRSB, within its charter and legislative mandate, could more fully benefit the mission space of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response (ASPR). The purpose of this letter is to communicate three recommendations of the FNWG.
Future of the NPRSB WG

**NPRSB Voting Members**
- Dr. Steven Krug (Chair)
- Dr. John Bradley
- Dr. Gray Heppner
- Dr. Noreen Hynes
- Dr. Eva Lee
- Dr. Catherine Slemp
- Dr. Tammy Spain

**External Subject Matter Experts (SME)**
- Dr. Michael Anderson
- Dr. Thomas Inglesby
- Dr. John Parker

**ASPR Staff support FNWG**
- CAPT Charlotte Spires, Executive Director (until July 31, 2017)
- CDR Jyl Woolfolk (until Sept 1, 2017)
- CDR Evelyn Seel
- Dr. Maxine Kellman, Executive Secretariat
- Mr. Justin Willard (until Sept 1, 2017)
- Ms. Belinda Green
- Ms. Rayshaw Holmes
- Ms. Sarah Verbofsky
Future of the NPRSB Letter to the ASPR

- Recommendation 1 - Standing Working Groups
- Recommendation 2 - Security Clearance Requirement Reinstatement for the Board
- Recommendation 3 - More Frequent In-person Board Meetings
Future of the NPRSB Letter to the ASPR

Recommendation I - Standing Working Groups

- Public health emergency risk detection and assessment
- Operational readiness and response capacity and capabilities
- Recovery, resiliency, and risk reduction
### Three Standing Working Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public health emergency risk detection and assessment</th>
<th>Operational response capacity and capabilities</th>
<th>Recovery, resiliency and risk reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple threat readiness</td>
<td>Operational capacity</td>
<td>Planning beyond response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBRN Threats</td>
<td>Particularly final mile MCM dispensing</td>
<td>Current state of healthcare system and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is being stockpiled? Are we aware and ready?</td>
<td>Application of operational science: assessing performance; defining best practices; identifying gaps</td>
<td>workforce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear disaster: Follow-up from radiation issues raised in Gotham Shield</td>
<td>Where do CDC and ASPR stand</td>
<td>Opioid crisis impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>MCM/SNS capabilities</strong></td>
<td>Responder education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Advancing MCM development science and pipeline</td>
<td>Special population readiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expedited development and deployment of novel vaccines / MCM for emerging pathogens/threats</td>
<td>Patient transport/ regionalization of care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCM requirements – critical review</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Effective formulations, special population needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- MCM/SNS: Medical Countermeasures and Surveillance System
Standing Working Groups

Crosscutting issues:

- Strategies to communicate magnitude of risk and realities of preparedness, use of social media to disseminate unified and reliable information
- Science readiness, novel technologies, cybersecurity, coalitions
Recommendation 2 - Security Clearance Requirement Reinstatement for the Board

- Past NPRSB members received security clearances but, currently, members neither undergo the clearance process nor receive security clearances.
- Given the current threats facing the country, the FNWG believes the NPRSB would be more effective if its members had access to classified information.
- We ask that security clearances be reinstated for Board members.
Recommendation 3 - More Frequent In-person Board Meetings

- Remote teleconference and webinar meetings fail to offer the powerful synergy of in-person meetings, which better facilitate interactive dialogue between Board members, federal Ex-Officio members and content experts.
- We ask that in-person meetings be used strategically to facilitate the effectiveness of the NPRSB and its WGs.
- We recommend at least two in-person meetings be held a year.
Thank you’s

- Earlier FNPRSB WG Members (Drs. Anderson, Parker and Inglesby) and current members
- Former National Advisory Committee (NAC) Executive Director, CAPT Charlotte Spires, CDR Jyl Woolfolk and Mr. Justin Willard
- Current NAC Staff and ASPR Leadership
Questions?