TRAINING ON THE US GOVERNMENT POLICY FOR INSTITUTIONAL OVERSIGHT OF LIFE SCIENCES DUAL USE RESEARCH OF CONCERN
Purpose

This presentation provides an overview of the *US Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)*

The slides may be used to:

- promote understanding of the issues associated with dual use research in the life sciences
- highlight the importance of the need for responsible conduct and oversight of such research, and
- educate on the specific requirements of individuals and entities under the Policy
Overview of Training

- What is Dual Use Research in the Life Sciences?
- Oversight of Dual Use Research of Concern
- Key Responsibilities of Institutions, Investigators, the U.S. Government, and Others under the Institutional DURC Oversight Policy
- Resources, including the “Companion Guide”
DUAL USE RESEARCH IN THE LIFE SCIENCES
Importance of Life Sciences Research

*Life sciences research underpins:*

- Biomedical and public health advances
- Improvements in agriculture
- Safety and quality of food supply
- Environmental quality
- Strong national security and economy
Dual Use Research In The Life Sciences

Good science can be put to bad uses

Dual use research (DUR) is research conducted for legitimate purposes that generates knowledge, information, technologies, and/or products that can be utilized both for benevolent and harmful purposes.
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)

- Most life sciences research conceivably could be considered DUR in that it has *some* potential to generate information that could be misused.

- There is a subset of research that has the greatest potential for generating information that could be readily misused in ways that threaten public health and national security. Such research has been termed *Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)* and is the focus of the US Government oversight policies.
Life sciences research that, based on current understanding, can be reasonably anticipated to provide knowledge, information, products, or technologies that could be directly misapplied to pose a significant threat with broad potential consequences to public health and safety, agricultural crops and other plants, animals, the environment, materiel, or national security.
OVERSIGHT OF DUAL USE RESEARCH OF CONCERN
Oversight of DURC

- The dual use potential of certain life sciences research has been recognized as an important biosecurity issue for a number of years.

- Managing the risks associated with DURC is a responsibility shared by:
  - Researchers
  - Journal editors and publishers
  - Institutional officials
  - Local oversight bodies
  - The Federal government
US Government Policies for DURC Oversight

- There are two US Government policies that address the oversight of life sciences DURC.
  - The United States Government Policy for Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern (March 2012)
  - The United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research Of Concern (September 2014)

- Available at [www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse](http://www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse)
US Government Policies for DURC Oversight

These policies:

- Aim to preserve the benefits of life sciences research while minimizing the risk of misuse of the knowledge, information, products, or technologies provided by such research; and
- Complement existing regulations and policies governing the safe and secure use of pathogens and toxins

Whereas the March 2012 policy describes the responsibilities of Federal agencies, the September 2014 policy primarily describes the responsibilities of institutions.
Research Subject to the Policies

Does research involve one or more of 15 agents or toxins listed in the Policy?

Does research aim to produce one of seven listed experimental effects?

Does research meet definition of DURC?

Requires additional Federal and local oversight and risk mitigation strategies to address dual use concerns
The US Government Policy for Oversight of Life Sciences DURC (March 2012)

- Requires **Federal departments and agencies** to review their research portfolios, both intramural and extramural, to:
  - Identify all research under the policy with DURC potential
  - Mitigate the risks posed by any DURC identified
Institutional oversight of DURC is a critical component of a comprehensive oversight system that involves:

- Principal Investigators (PIs)
- Institutional Review Entity (IRE)
- Institutional Contact for Dual Use Research (ICDUR)
- Institution
- United States Government (USG)
Overview of the Process for Institutional DURC Oversight

PI identifies research that involves any of the 15 listed agents

Institutional Review Entity (IRE):
• Determines whether the research involves any of the 7 experimental effects;
• If so, conducts a risk assessment to determine whether the research is DURC; and
• If so, weighs the risks and benefits and develops a draft risk mitigation plan

USG funding agency finalizes and approves risk mitigation plan

Institution implements approved risk mitigation plan and provides ongoing oversight

PI conducts and communicates research according to risk mitigation plan
Entities Subject to the Institutional DURC Oversight Policy

- Federal departments and agencies that fund or conduct life sciences research

- Institutions within the United States that:
  - Receive Federal funds to conduct or sponsor life sciences research; and
  - Conduct or sponsor research that is subject to the Policy, regardless of source of funding

- Institutions outside of the United States that receive Federal funds to conduct or sponsor research subject to the Policy
What Research is Subject to the Policy?

- Research that uses one or more of the agents or toxins listed in the Policy to discern if it:
  - Produces, aims to produce, or can be reasonably anticipated to produce one or more of the seven listed experimental effects
What Research is Subject to the Policy?

- Research that directly involves any of the following 15 agents and toxins:
  - Avian influenza virus (highly pathogenic)
  - *Bacillus anthracis*
  - Botulinum neurotoxin (in any quantity)
  - *Burkholderia mallei*
  - *Burkholderia pseudomallei*
  - Ebola virus
  - Foot-and-mouth disease virus
  - *Francisella tularensis*
  - Marburg virus
  - Reconstructed 1918 Influenza virus
  - Rinderpest virus
  - Toxin-producing strains of *Clostridium botulinum*
  - Variola major virus
  - Variola minor virus
  - *Yersinia pestis*

*Except attenuated strains of the agents that are excluded from the Select Agent list and inactive forms of botulinum neurotoxin*
What Research is Subject to the Policy?

- **Experimental effects**
  - Enhances the harmful consequences of the agent or toxin
  - Disrupts immunity or the effectiveness of an immunization against the agent or toxin without clinical and/or agricultural justification
  - Confers to the agent or toxin resistance to clinically and/or agriculturally useful prophylactic or therapeutic interventions against that agent or toxin or facilitates their ability to evade detection methodologies
  - Increases the stability, transmissibility, or the ability to disseminate the agent or toxin
  - Alters the host range or tropism of the agent or toxin
  - Enhances the susceptibility of a host population to the agent or toxin
  - Generates or reconstitutes an eradicated or extinct agent or toxin listed in the policy
Determine if the Research Meets the Definition of DURC

If the research with any of the 15 agents involves any of the 7 experimental effects, conduct a risk assessment to determine if it meets the following definition:

*Life sciences research that, based on current understanding, can be reasonably anticipated to provide knowledge, information, products, or technologies that could be directly misapplied to pose a significant threat with broad potential consequences to public health and safety, agricultural crops and other plants, animals, the environment, materiel, or national security.*
Risk Assessment and Risk Mitigation

- For projects that are determined to meet the definition of DURC, the IRE must develop a risk mitigation plan to apply any necessary and appropriate risk mitigation measures
Management of DURC-Associated Risks

- DURC risk mitigation strategies may include:
  - Changing the design or conduct of the research or not conducting certain aspects of DURC
  - Applying specific biosecurity and/or biosafety measures
  - Developing a plan for monitoring the research for findings with additional DURC potential
  - Developing plan for responsibly communicating the results of DURC
  - In rare instances, when appropriate, restricting communication of experimental details or other specific information
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF INSTITUTIONS
Key Responsibilities of Institutions

- Establish and implement policies and practices for identification and oversight of DURC that include:
  - Establishing an IRE
  - Ensuring appropriate review of research with DURC potential
  - Assessing the potential risks and benefits associated with DURC
  - Developing and implementing risk mitigation plans, as necessary
Key Responsibilities of Institutions

... continued

- Ensuring compliance with the Policy and approved risk mitigation plans
- Ensuring periodic review and updating of risk mitigation plans
- Providing education and training on DURC
- Assisting investigators when questions arise regarding research that may be subject to the Policy
Key Responsibilities of Institutions

- Notify USG funding agencies of:
  - Research reviewed by the IRE that involves one of the seven experimental effects, including whether the research is determined to be DURC
  - Instances of noncompliance with the Policy
  - Proposed risk mitigation plans for research determined to be DURC
  - Changes in status of DURC or modification to risk mitigation plans
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF INVESTIGATORS
Key Responsibilities of Investigators

- Identify and refer to the IRE all research involving one or more of the agents or toxins listed in the Policy, along with an assessment of whether the research involves any of the seven listed experimental effects.

- Work with the IRE to assess the dual use risks and benefits of the research in question and develop risk mitigation measures.
Key Responsibilities of Investigators

- Conduct DURC in accordance with the risk mitigation plan
- Be knowledgeable about and comply with all institutional and Federal policies and requirements for oversight of DURC
- Continue to assess research to determine if, at any time, the research becomes subject to the policy
Key Responsibilities of Investigators

- Ensure that laboratory personnel (e.g. graduate students, postdoctoral fellows, research technicians, laboratory staff, and visiting scientists) conducting research with any of the 15 listed agents have received education and training on DURC.

- Communicate DURC in a responsible manner, throughout the research process, not only at the point of publication.
  - Ensure that communication is in compliance with the risk mitigation plan approved by the appropriate Federal funding agency.
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INSTITUTIONAL REVIEW ENTITY (IRE)
Key Responsibilities of the IRE

- Be composed of at least 5 members, including persons with knowledge of US government policies and sufficient range of expertise to assess the dual use potential of research conducted at that institution.

- Review of research identified by PIs:
  1. Verification that the research involves one or more of the 15 listed agents.
  2. Review of the PIs assessment and final determination of whether the research meets any of the seven experimental effects.
  3. When appropriate, make a determination of whether the research meets the definition of DURC.
Key Responsibilities of the IRE

For research determined to be DURC, the IRE:

- Consider the risks and benefits of conducting the research
- Works with the appropriate Federal funding agency to develop a risk mitigation plan
- Reviews the risk mitigation plan at least annually and modifies the plan, as warranted
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE INSTITUTIONAL CONTACT FOR DUAL USE RESEARCH (ICDUR)
Key Responsibilities of the ICDUR

- Serve as institutional point of contact for questions regarding compliance with and implementation of the requirements for the DURC oversight policies

- Serve as liaison between the institution and the relevant USG funding agency

- Consult with the relevant USG funding agency when the institution seeks advice on matters related to DURC
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF US GOVERNMENT FUNDING AGENCIES
Key Responsibilities of US Government Funding Agencies

- Require policy implementation at all institutions subject to the Policy.
- When notified by an institution of research meeting the scope of the Policy:
  - Notify the institution when the USG funding agency disagrees with any part of the IRE’s review outcome
  - For research determined to be DURC, work with the institution to finalize a risk mitigation plan
  - Respond to questions from institutions regarding DURC oversight and compliance with the Policy
- Respond to reports of non-compliance and work with the institution to address such non-compliance
KEY RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE US GOVERNMENT
Key Responsibilities of the US Government

- Provide guidance to institutions regarding review, management, and responsible communication of DURC
- Develop training tools and materials for use by the USG agencies and institutions implementing the Policy
- Provide education and outreach to stakeholders about dual use policies and issues
- Assess periodically the impact of the Policy on life sciences research programs and, as appropriate, update the Federal and institutional dual use research oversight policies
RESOURCES
Resources

- **Companion Guide**
  - A compendium of tools to assist investigators and research institutions in the implementation of DURC oversight

- **Case Studies**
  - Provide a range of examples of research that is subject to the policy and demonstrate the type of analysis that should be brought to bear during institutional reviews

- These tools promote the:
  - understanding and identification of DURC
  - risk assessment and development of risk mitigation plans and risk management processes
  - responsible communication of DURC, and training and education on DURC
Companion Guide

Tools for the Identification, Assessment, Management, and Responsible Communication of Dual Use Research of Concern

A Companion Guide to the United States Government Policies for Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern

Prepared by the National Institutes of Health on behalf of the United States Government

SEPTEMBER 2014

Available at: www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse
Companion Guide

The Companion Guide includes:

- Frequently asked questions regarding US Government DURC oversight policies
- Guidance for PIs on identification and assessment of research that requires institutional review
- Guidance for IREs on conducting institutional review, including risk assessments and drafting and review of risk mitigation plans
- Guidance to all audiences on responsible communication of DURC
- Templates (optional) for institutions to use in fulfilling policy requirements
Case Studies

Available at www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse
Educational Video

Available on YouTube: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0yS1ur24j40
Investigator Brochures

To request copies email: PBBP@od.nih.gov
To request copies email: PBBP@od.nih.gov
Additional Information

- Information about dual use research in the life sciences as well as specific details on the United States Government Policy for Institutional Oversight of Life Sciences Dual Use Research of Concern can be found at:

www.phe.gov/s3/dualuse
Implementation Questions

- Questions about implementing the Policy may be sent to:

  DURC@ostp.gov